

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/647,749	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RAWNICK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Dean O Takaoka	<b>Art Unit</b> 2817	

**All Participants:**

(1) Dean O Takaoka.

(2) Robert Sacco.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 1 November 2004
**Time:** 10:20A
**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22,23

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 22 and 23 appeared to have an improper dependency and it was agreed to change the dependency of the claims from claim 13 to claim 14, also drawn to a method. It was agreed that the changes would be made by Examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance..